# Regional Advisory Panel (SA)

## Meeting No. 7

**Minutes**

**Meeting Date:** 2 Feb 2016

**Attendees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jamie Ewert (Chair)</th>
<th>Ebony Henderson (CRCWSC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angela Marchi (UoA)</td>
<td>Brendon Curtis (CoUnley)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Evans (Water Industry)</td>
<td>Greg Ingleton (SA Water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Allen (DEWNR)</td>
<td>Mellissa Bradley (watersensitiveSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Phillips (A&amp;MLR)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Apologies

| Angus Simpson (UoA) | Robin Allison (Design Flow) | Steven Gatti (DEWNR) |

## Agenda Topic

### 1. Welcome and Apologies

The meeting commenced at 10:05 am. The Chair welcomed all members. A special welcome to David Evans from Water Industry Alliance, who has joined us for the first time.

**Addition to the agenda:** Other Business - discussion on benefits frameworks regarding Water Sensitive Urban design (DEWNR & Water Sensitive SA)

### 2. Tranche 2 outcomes & update

T2 Technology Needs & Opportunities Workshop (28 Jan 2016) hosted by Melbourne Water was attended by 20 selected researchers, executive members & EPRG members (*see attachment 11 for outcomes from the workshop*).

It was discussed that there were 2 main themes through-out;

1. Trialling & collecting information
2. Influencing community (capacity building)

The Project Development Workshop #1 (2-3 Dec 2015) in Melbourne was attended by approx. 60 selected people from RAP's, EPRG, SASC, RASC, Executive team & Researchers. The event was primarily for researchers to come together and discuss the outcomes from the state based N&OW's in November. Melissa & Greg attended the event on behalf of the SA RAP.

**Potential Projects:** Water trade within system (bring in different sources of water for difference uses) 2 components 1. Governance 2. Technology (modelling)

**Manufacturing (in addition to Technology – propriety systems):** time for construction & residential scale. Faster better cheaper technology.

**SA Needs & Opportunities (Jamie Presentation)**

1. Context specific solutions (project in each city – a lot of interest around AUS)
2. Move from talking about it (past) and find better ways of doing it
3. Business cases / working with communities

RAP Activity – project opportunities (see attachment): As assessment for SA Needs. Develop a democratic way to decide on the programs. Matching the projects outlined at the SA Needs & Opportunities workshop with the new cluster group (as listed above). The group discussed that it would be best to go away and do it individually. An example was complete with the group on ‘Adelaide City Council Green Streets Program’ (see attached).

**ACTION**: The RAP to complete activity for all SA opportunities – EH to send out material.

The RAP discussed the importance of the current list of SA projects. It was agreed to remove: Greater Edenborough Park – NRM Board, and change: Re-assessment of all water use and role in changing climate – grid; offsets; water trading

List of Adelaide opportunities:
- Adelaide City Council Green Street Program
- Re-assessment of all water *(changed*
- Adelaide airport Urban Water Heat Island
- City of Unley Leeder Street Upgrade
- Developing a framework *(changed*
- Tree Net Program – City of Mitchem
- Greater Edenborough Park – NRM Board *(removed)*
- Paynham Street St Peters – Street scape solutions for greening infrastructure *(name changed)*
- Cost benefit analysis tool *(Added to the list)*

---

**3. Project Development Workshop #2 (15 Feb 2016)**

See attachment # 9 for proposed agenda

Interest in attending from the RAP - City of Unley – Yes (TBC representative). SA Water – Yes. NRM Board – Yes (TBC representative, Melissa or Steve Morton)

Instructions for RAP – list below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RAP representatives:</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jointly with the RAP members, develop a list of 3-5 project ideas that will address key needs, and build on valuable opportunities identified in the regional Needs and Opportunities workshops.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify a 'project idea leader' for each project who will take a responsibility in developing the idea, jointly with relevant RAP members, prior to the workshop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use the Project Proposal Outline (PPO) template to start structuring the needs and opportunities (does not have to be completed or developed in detail at this stage though).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and incorporate key research needs and contributions based on the Research Cluster outlines distributed prior to the workshop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify possible core partner organisations (and individuals) in the region who would be keen to actively participate in the project development and execution and work with them to develop the project idea.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluate and briefly describe possible co-investment opportunities (cash and/or in-kind) from local or national organisations, both participant or non-participant organisations in the CRCWSC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocate each of the project idea to one of the RAP representative who will be attending this workshop and who will be well briefed by the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RAP Transition (see attachment #2)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In making the transition, consideration should be given to:
- **Size** – what is the optimum size for an effective steering group?
- **Skills and membership** – both in terms of organisations (CRCWSC partner and non-partners) as well as level of representation from each organisation.
- **Governance** – what structure will be effective and participatory?
- **Terms of reference** – how does the function and focus need to change?
- **Timing of changes** – to minimise disruption to the Tranche 2 process, engender ownership of Tranche 2 projects and enable “handover”.

Suggested to have 2 levels within the new RAP structure:
1. Steering committee (meet twice a year) – however need further clarification
2. Project committee (meet 4 or 5 times a year)

Having high level support will make a big difference in making decisions and changes. Regardless if any projects get up in SA. Need to develop and integrate projects happening in the rest of the AUS.

**ACTION:** The same conversation regarding structure will be had with VIC on Friday. JE to report back to the SA RAP on what the outcome is.

**NEW RAP structure deadline 30 June 16.**

## 5. Other Business

1. **Review other state projects and endorse for further input.** Discus at the next meeting.
2. **SA Projects to be approved by the Board.**
3. **Research Synthesis (see attachment #4)**
4. **Projects have been prioritised by the CRC Executive.** None from SA.
5. **AdenMac – old North Melbourne football club. Flooding issues. No WSUD. Moonee ponds creek (public) – a lot of potential. Funding & recycled water. Architectures to do an 18 month project.**
6. **NRM-Board. Discussion on Benefits frameworks regarding Water Sensitive Urban design – per review (Water Sensitive SA & DEWNR) – links WSUD to green infrastructure.**
   **ACTION:** Jamie to discus with Steve Morton.

**Close:** The meeting closed at 12:30 pm. The Chair thanked everyone for their attendance.

**Date of Next Meeting:** Next meeting early April – TBC Date. Add place-holder date for May.

**ACTION:** EH to send out calendar invitation