CRC for
Water Sensitive Cities



Estimating the water performance of medium density development at Knutsford

Land use / development type	Scale
Residential – medium density infill	Precinct
Water source/supply	**Scale**
Rainwater tanks	POS irrigation/non-potable
Sewer mining	POS irrigation/non-potable
Site conditions	
Soils	Shallow soil on a limestone ridge
Groundwater level	High
Groundwater availability	Contaminated/unavailable
Local government	**Location**
City of Fremantle	Knutsford development

To combat urban sprawl, many cities are promoting infill development as a means to revitalise areas and optimise investment in infrastructure and services. Recent research shows, however, that without significant intervention, 'business-as-usual' redevelopment will have a considerable negative influence on urban hydrology, resource efficiency, urban heat, liveability and amenity (London, et al, 2020a). Research for the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage indicated every new dwelling imposes an additional $1,460 per year of costs to the wider community for medium density infill developments with sub-optimal outcomes (SGS Economics and Planning, 2020). Changing 'business-as-usual practices' is often challenging, but it can be assisted by tools that can quantify and compare the impact of new practices.

The Cooperative Research Centre for Water Sensitive Cities (CRCWSC) has developed an Infill Performance Evaluation Framework that quantifies the performance of water sensitive infill development using three groups of performance criteria: (i) water performance (including hydrology, water storage, water demand and supply, greening); (ii) urban heat; and (iii) architectural and urban spaces quality. This case study outlines the results of the assessment of the water performance (criteria 1) and architectural and urban space quality (criteria 3). The results of the urban heat assessment (criteria 2) are outlined in a supplementary case study.

What does water sensitive infill look like?

While large building footprints and low-rise developments are the most common form of suburban infill, this form of development often results in unusable open spaces, with inadequate tree canopy and poor cross-ventilation and solar access. Water sensitive infill development can yield more outdoor space, reduce overall water and energy demand per dwelling and per person, and provide valuable stormwater infiltration and deep root zones that support tree canopy.

Key principles of water sensitive infill development are: improved water performance (hydrological flows, stormwater management and water use efficiency); access to quality outdoor public, private and communal space; and quality design amenity and function.

The CRCWSC's Infill Typologies Catalogue (London, 2020a) provides ideas for architects to help design water sensitive infill development. It contains a range of housing typologies, at densities and configurations relevant to Australian cities and applicable to different contemporary

Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources
Business Cooperative Research Centres Program

infill development scenarios. The scenarios have also been evaluated for their water sensitive performance and compared against business-as-usual approaches to provide an evidence base for better design.

How do we measure performance?

The CRCWS's Infill Performance Evaluation Framework (the Framework) helps to assess the performance of a range of outcomes, defined via performance principles, criteria and indicators.

The performance criteria of water sensitive infill are:

Aspect	Performance criteria
Hydrology	**Restored natural water flows:** Infiltration (groundwater recharge) is restored towards a desired state, by the presence of pervious surfaces. Evapotranspiration volume is restored towards a desired state, by the presence of vegetated surfaces, vegetation selection, and irrigation of vegetation. Stormwater runoff volume is restored towards a desired state, by the harvesting, storage and use of rainwater and stormwater.
	Waterway and wetland ecology and water quality: Peak daily stormwater discharges are restored towards a desired state.
	Flood resilience (overland flow): Peak daily stormwater discharges are restored towards a desired state.
Water storage capacity	**Storage:** Water storage capacity (tanks, basins, etc.) within the development is optimised; and soil moisture storage is maximised through permeability.
Water demand and supply	**Water demand** is minimised by water-efficient appliances, water-efficient behaviours and higher dwelling occupancy (where possible). **Water supply** self-sufficiency is maximised by harvesting, storing and using supplementary water sourced from the urban system.
Greening	**Water and space for vegetation**: Reliability of supplementary water supply is sufficient to enable irrigation, even in dry periods, to maintain soil moisture and dense tree canopies. The amount of space for vegetation is optimised.
Urban heat	**Outdoor thermal comfort** can be maintained within a tolerable range (relevant to the climate).
Architectural and urban space quality	**Amenity and useability (private and public):** The following qualitative performance criteria are met for dwelling interiors, and outdoor private, communal and public spaces: a. Availability and diversity b. Size and proportion c. Accessibility and connectivity d. Privacy and noise management though balanced transition between spaces e. Multifunctionality, adaptability, flexibility f. Solar access, cross-ventilation g. Outlook to gardens, vegetation, canopy trees.

The Framework also outlines the performance indicators that can be used to measure achievement of the performance criteria, and recommends a range of models and methods of assessment for each group of criteria.

To guide better designs for water sensitive infill, it was also necessary to understand which elements of the urban form (design variables) were directly related to the performance criteria. These linkages are critical to inform improvements in performance through changes in design and also allows users to choose indicators and variables that are most applicable to the climate and landscape qualities of the site. This 'cause and effect' framework is presented in Figure 1.

Applying the framework

Case study site

The proposed development known as Knutsford, is approximately 4 ha in area and located 1.5 km from the Fremantle city centre. The redevelopment site is proposed to accommodate a range of medium density dwellings and demonstrate best practice design and sustainability.

Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources
Business Cooperative Research Centres Program



Figure 1: Cause and effect framework linking urban design parameters to water sensitive performance criteria

Comparing development types

To compare the performance of different forms of development, the CRCWSC defined three development scenarios: (i) existing low density development; (ii) business as usual; and (iii) water sensitive (London et al, 2020b).

The existing development scenario (EX) provides a baseline for measurement and reflects the typical pre-development state, providing 43 single-storey detached houses on large (approximately 600 m^2) lots with a net density of 16 dwellings/ha.

The business-as-usual scenario (BAU) comprises single-storey, affordable dwellings and reflects the type of infill likely to be constructed in the 2019 housing market. This scenario assumes 107 dwellings on the site, with a net dwelling density of 45 dwellings/ha.

The water sensitive development scenario (WS) includes three dwelling typologies from the Infill Typologies Catalogue – apartment units, townhouses, and warehouse units. It also incorporates more green space and communal and public space areas, as well as rainwater tanks (RW) and/or a sewer mining scheme (WW) to supply water for irrigation.

The WS scenario provides two design variants: WS-Con and WS-Max. The conservative case provides 154 dwellings on the site, whereas the maximised case has a greater number of storeys and provides 200 dwellings.

Figure 2: Site plan of water sensitive development scenario

Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources
Business Cooperative Research Centres Program

The respective net dwelling densities (not including communal spaces) are 81 and 105 dwellings/ha. There is no difference in the water sensitive strategies included.

Key inputs

Assessing performance of the three scenarios using the Framework requires a number of key inputs:

- defining the water servicing arrangements for each scenario including demands and source availability
- defining relevant indicators for each of the performance criteria and context-specific targets to measure against. This step is often influenced by the choice of variables that can be measured and modelled by the Framework
- applying the Aquacycle tool to develop a precinct-scale water balance that addresses the performance criteria and provides values for the indicators (and assessment) relating to water performance (hydrology, water storage capacity, water demand and supply, and greening)
- evaluating the architectural and urban space qualities of each development against the agreed criteria and targets.

Applying the Framework also includes assessing urban heat. This is provided in an accompanying case study.

Results

Results from the water balance assessment as documented in Knutsford case study final report: water sensitive outcomes for infill development (London et al, 2020b) show that the WS scenarios should all maintain current levels of infiltration (29–30% of rainfall), whereas infiltration will decrease to 11% of rainfall in the BAU scenario due to the significant decrease in pervious surfaces. The WS scenarios also perform better for stormwater runoff, which increases significantly from 25% in the existing scenario to 62% in the BAU scenario. With harvesting, storage, and use of rainwater, stormwater runoff can be reduced to around 4%.

The increased population for both the BAU and WS scenarios will increase water demands. However, supplementary supplies of rainwater and/or recycled wastewater reduces the use of imported water by various degrees. The harvesting and indoor use of rainwater (RW) alone provides 25% water self-sufficiency. This concurs with other estimates that suggest 'an appropriately sized rainwater tank could supply up to 20% of a household's total water needs' in Perth (WA Government, 2020). The outdoor use of recycled wastewater alone provides an overall 40% water self-sufficiency, meeting all of the outdoor water demand. The combined use of both provides 63% self-sufficiency. This result means the demand for imported water is less than the BAU case, with the added benefits of a higher population yield and greening supported by irrigation (London et al, 2020b).

The WS scenarios are also expected to perform better than the BAU scenario for architectural and urban space qualities. This result reflects the increased access to all forms of open space (private, public and communal) including canopy trees, and increased amenity and functionality through diversity.



Figure 3: Water balance results for hydrology

Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources
Business Cooperative Research Centres Program

Outcome

The results of the Knutsford assessment suggest water sensitive options incorporating alternative water sources such as rainwater harvesting can more closely mimic natural flows. This has additional benefits of significantly reducing reliance on imported mains water supplies, improving reliability of water supply for greening and consequently positively influencing water security and liveability, which is also enhanced through greater access to open space.

Key strategies to ensure optimal performance are:

- purposeful design of built form to include as many permeable and vegetated surfaces as possible to promote infiltration and evapotranspiration
- incorporation of retention devices (raingardens and infiltration cells) that capture and hold surface runoff from impervious surfaces to make water available in the soil profile for trees and facilitate infiltration
- rainwater harvesting and use, which provides supplementary water supply and reduces runoff.

Principles of water sensitive infill design

1. Infill design does not adversely alter the natural hydrology (infiltration, evapotranspiration and stormwater discharge) of the development area, and aims to mimic the hydrological water balance of a desired state. This will help to maintain or improve water quality and help protect the ecological condition of waterways and wetlands.
2. Infill designs facilitate soil moisture storage (where beneficial) through permeable surfaces that promote infiltration consistent with principle 1.
3. Infill designs incorporate water storages to facilitate the availability of supplementary water supply and slow/retain/detain runoff to reduce flooding.
4. Infill designs enable reduced reliance on imported water by facilitating the use of supplementary water supplies (harvested rainwater and stormwater, recycled greywaters and wastewaters), by making space for water storage and/or connections to supplementary supplies.
5. Infill designs include space and deep root zones for vegetation and large trees, to provide greening for cooling, biodiversity and amenity.
6. Infill designs enable irrigation of vegetated areas with supplementary water supplies, to support greening for cooling and amenity.
7. Infill designs enable passive mitigation of outdoor urban heat through building orientation and tree canopy shading.
8. Dwellings and urban spaces are efficiently designed and equipped to enable improved amenity, usability and flexibility.

References and resources

London, G., Bertram, N., Sainsbury, O. and Todorovic, T. (2020a). *Infill Typologies Catalogue*. Melbourne, Victoria: Cooperative Research Centre for Water Sensitive Cities.

London, G., Bertram, N., Renouf, M.A., Kenway, S.J., Sainsbury, O., Todorovic, T., Byrne J., Pype, M.L., Sochacka, B., Surendran, S. and Moravej, M. (2020b). *Knutsford case study final report: water sensitive outcomes for infill development*. Melbourne, Cooperative Research Centre for Water Sensitive Cities.

Renouf M.A., Kenway S.J., Bertram N., London G., Todorovic T., Sainsbury O., Nice K., Moravej M. and Sochacka B. (2020). *Water Sensitive Outcomes for Infill Development: Infill Performance Evaluation Framework*. Melbourne, Australia: Cooperative Research Centre for Water Sensitive Cities.

SGS Economics and Planning (2020). *Wider Costs of Medium Density Development.* Prepared for Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage. Perth, WA.

Western Australian Government (2020). *Waterwise community toolkit—Rainwater*. Perth, Australia: WA Government.

New WAter Ways

Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions
Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage
Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

WALGA

WATER CORPORATION

Contact details

PO BOX 8000 Monash University
LPO, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia

info@crcwsc.org.au

@crcwsc

https://watersensitivecities.org.au

CRC for
Water Sensitive Cities